

ZERO IS NOT A NUMBER

RESEARCH AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO
REDUCE WASTE IN FRESH PRODUCT CATEGORIES



ZERO IS NOT A NUMBER

Roughly one-third of food produced for human consumption is lost or wasted, which amounts to about 1.3 billion tons per year globally, at an estimated cost of €856 billion. Clearly, this is unacceptable from ethical and economic standpoints, and there is mounting pressure worldwide from governments, industry, and consumer interest groups to tackle the issue. However, any idea that waste can be eliminated completely is an illusion. Achieving zero waste is not only unrealistic, but would also require a dramatic reduction in the availability of products and limits on consumer choice that most would find equally unacceptable. That said, the opportunity to reduce waste is still very significant and, if pursued in the right way, there is a large upside for producers, retailers, and consumers alike.

If retailers improve the freshness of products they sell and thereby increase the remaining shelf life available for consumers, it will not only help to reduce waste at the consumer level and improve customer satisfaction, but also reduce retailers' operational costs and waste levels. Beyond those cost savings, retailers excelling in freshness will also increase sales and market share, and improve their image in the eyes of consumers. Looking at the financial side, if the average proportion of food waste can be reduced – for example from 2 percent to 1.5 percent of total sales – a typical retailer with a net margin of 3 percent would increase its net income by 17 percent.

We worked with the Efficient Consumer Response (ECR) Community Shrinkage and On-shelf Availability Group to investigate how reducing waste affects on-shelf availability, and vice versa. To this end, we launched a major international study that was conducted by a leading research institute in the retail sector, Eindhoven University of Technology in the Netherlands. This work led to three key recommendations for retailers to find the right compromise between reducing waste and driving sales for their business.

The full report can be accessed at www.ecr-shrink-group.com.

METHODOLOGY

Three large European grocery retailers participated in the study. They provided information on more than 17,000 products and, in 27 stores, allowed for further analysis of the fresh convenience, fruit and vegetables, and fresh meat categories, where 50 percent of their waste was generated.

RESULTS: HOW LOW CAN YOU GO?

The study revealed three key findings:


1. Waste and availability targets are a balancing act.
2. There is a simple and accurate way to predict waste.
3. A high proportion of waste does not always mean lots of scope for improvement.

1. WASTE AND AVAILABILITY TARGETS ARE A BALANCING ACT

It is well-known that higher on-shelf availability is likely to drive an increase in waste. The study was able to quantify this relationship across three fresh categories (see Exhibit 1). It also showed how expected minimum waste differed between products, even when on-shelf availability was the same.

These findings illustrate the importance of determining the right target for on-shelf availability. If a target is set too high, there is a very large risk of excessive waste. If, on the other hand, a waste target is set too aggressively, it can have a detrimental effect on on-shelf availability, missed sales, and consequently customer satisfaction. Choosing the right target depends on the category and the store, and the decision should not be taken lightly.

Exhibit 1: Products with similar levels of on-shelf availability can have different levels of waste



2. THERE IS A SIMPLE AND ACCURATE WAY TO PREDICT WASTE

It is possible to calculate an expected case waste index for each product by measuring the average daily demand for a product, the size of each case of product, and product shelf life. The larger the index, the more likely it is that all items in a case will not be sold before the case expires. The lower the index, the more frequently each case will sell out before its sell-by date, reducing waste. This makes the calculation a good indicator for how much waste to expect for a given product in a given store (Exhibit 2).


3. A HIGH PROPORTION OF WASTE DOES NOT ALWAYS MEAN LOTS OF SCOPE FOR IMPROVEMENT

When benchmarking the 27 participating stores, significant differences in fresh waste were observed. A substantial part of this variation was due to differences between the stores' daily sales, case sizes used, and shelf lives of the products they stocked. To control for these factors, the performance of a store – assessed as on-shelf availability and percentage waste – was compared against predicted waste. This takes into account key characteristics – like the product range, the average daily demand, and the shelf life – which may vary from store to store or between categories.

This makes it possible to map each store's performance against expected waste. Exhibit 3 shows how the approach works: the distance between predicted minimum waste and actual performance reflects the scope for improvement.

The results illustrate how high levels of waste do not necessarily mean there is high potential to reduce it. Conversely, stores or categories with seemingly low levels of waste could still be far from optimal. If retailers focus on the wrong stores, waste reduction measures may be fruitless or even counterproductive. Recognizing where the waste reduction potential lies is crucial for tackling it effectively.

Exhibit 2: Average waste versus expected case waste index



THREE WAYS TO REDUCE WASTE

The study yielded three key recommendations for cutting back waste in fresh categories:

1. Set the right waste and availability targets.
2. Target stores and categories that have more scope for improvement.
3. Increase product shelf life.

1. SET THE RIGHT WASTE AND AVAILABILITY TARGETS


Setting the on-shelf availability target is a strategic decision that should be aligned with the marketing strategy. For example, retailers with a strategy focused on high levels of customer service typically aim for an on-shelf availability of at least 98 percent for dry groceries. For perishables, however, they typically aim lower given the direct impact that availability has on the amount of waste.

To find the optimal trade-off between on-shelf availability and waste, calculating the expected waste will allow retailers to understand the full bottom-line impact of raising or lowering on-shelf availability targets.

Different parts of the product range should have different targets. For example, slow movers with a short shelf life should have a significantly lower on-shelf availability target (and/or higher waste target) compared to fast movers with a medium to long shelf life.

The benefit of setting differentiated targets can be huge: the study found that setting different targets for fast- and slow-moving items could reduce waste by 12 percent or increase on-shelf availability by 1.3 percent, compared to a one-size-fits-all approach.

Exhibit 3: Actual fresh waste performance of two stores versus predicted waste



2. TARGET STORES AND CATEGORIES THAT HAVE MORE SCOPE FOR IMPROVEMENT

As described earlier, the expected case waste index is both easy to calculate and highly predictive of waste. Category managers, supply chain managers, buyers, and suppliers can easily identify which of their items have high expected case waste and choose those as a starting point for tackling the waste problem.

Moreover, it is a useful concept to trigger the right kind of conversations across functional departments. For example, a large case size may help to reduce logistics costs, but what is the point if half of the products regularly end up as waste?

Benchmarking like this also makes it possible to identify which stores and which fresh departments have the biggest gap between actual performance and predicted waste. The outputs can act as a starting point for a meeting where managers from best-in-class stores may share good practice with those from underperforming stores.

3. INCREASE SHELF LIFE

Increasing a fresh product's shelf life by just one day provides a big benefit, irrespective of whether the objective is to sell more or to waste less. In categories where products currently have a shelf life of eight days or less, an extra day of life reduces waste by 42.8 percent or increases on-shelf availability by 3.4 percent.

A good way to improve shelf life in fresh categories is to remove minimum order sizes from the distribution centre, enabling fresh products to be delivered to stores in the most flexible way possible. Of course, businesses need to consider the operational complexity it introduces and the cost implications. However, the waste reduction potential could be sizeable: in the sample analyzed, this approach was shown to reduce waste by 32.5 percent or increase on-shelf availability by 2 percent.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Retailers often view waste as a fact of life. However, the study clearly demonstrates that waste is a choice and retailers have many opportunities to reduce it, increase category and store efficiencies, and improve profits. By finding the right balance between waste and on-shelf availability, and by being able to recognize areas of underperformance, retailers will be able to deliver a sizeable reduction of waste.

RECENT PUBLICATIONS FROM OLIVER WYMAN



THE OLIVER WYMAN RETAIL JOURNAL

Retail is an industry in transition. In this edition of the Retail Journal, we focus on the future to help us understand where the next upheaval is likely to come from and how retailers can be prepared.



INCUMBENTS IN THE DIGITAL WORLD

How incumbent organisations can ultimately win in a marketplace transformed by digital disruptors.



THE OLIVER WYMAN RISK JOURNAL

A collection of perspectives that showcase the latest thinking from across our firm on how companies need to respond to a broad range of interconnected risks.



TRANSPORT & LOGISTICS JOURNAL

This publication focuses on technological innovation and operational excellence for global transport, travel, and logistics companies.



BREAKING THE ADDICTION: PROMOTIONS IN FOOD RETAIL

Promotions are the hard drugs of the retail system: they ease the pain in the short term, but as use increases, so does dependency and it becomes harder and harder to quit. We provide a six-step programme for successfully addressing the addiction.



FIGHTING FAT: A ROLE FOR RETAILERS

Our proposition for addressing the obesity crisis in the UK by positioning large supermarkets as health and wellness champions.

QUALIFICATIONS, ASSUMPTIONS, AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

Oliver Wyman shall not have any liability to any third party in respect of this report or any actions taken or decisions made as a consequence of the results, advice, or recommendations set forth herein. The opinions expressed herein are valid only for the purpose stated herein and as of the date hereof. Information furnished by others, upon which all or portions of this report are based, is believed to be reliable but has not been verified. No warranty is given as to the accuracy of such information. Public information and industry and statistical data are from sources Oliver Wyman deem to be reliable; however, no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of such information is made. No responsibility is taken for changes in market conditions or laws or regulations and no obligation is assumed to revise this report to reflect changes, events or conditions, which occur subsequent to the date hereof.

ABOUT OLIVER WYMAN

Oliver Wyman is a global leader in management consulting that combines deep industry knowledge with specialized expertise in strategy, operations, risk management, and organization transformation.

In the Retail practice, we draw on unrivalled customer and strategic insight and state-of-the-art analytical techniques to deliver better results for our clients. We understand what it takes to win in retail: an obsession with serving the customer, constant dedication to better execution, and a relentless drive to improve capabilities. We believe our hands-on approach to making change happen is truly unique – and over the last 20 years, we've built our business by helping retailers build theirs.

www.oliverwyman.com

ABOUT ECR

The ECR ("Efficient Consumer Response") movement was established in the early 1990s with the mission "Working together to fulfill consumer needs, better, faster and at less cost." The ECR Community consists of representatives from national ECR organizations and the European Shrink & OSA group. Collectively, these organizations support a membership of over 1,800 retailers, manufacturers, and service providers across Europe. AIM and Euro-Commerce are also members of the ECR Community.

www.ecr-shrink-group.com

CONTACTS

JAMES BACOS

Global Retail Practice Leader
james.bacos@oliverwyman.com
+49 89 939 49 441

RICHARD MCKENZIE

Asian Retail Practice Co-Leader
richard.mckenzie@oliverwyman.com
+86 21 8036 9320

CHRIS BAKER

North American Retail Practice Co-Leader
chris.baker@oliverwyman.com
+1 312 345 2965

MARÍA MIRALLES CORTÉS

Iberian Retail Practice Leader
maría.miralles@oliverwyman.com
+34 615 036 406

WAI-CHAN CHAN

Asian Retail Practice Co-Leader
wai-chan.chan@oliverwyman.com
+852 2301 7500

SIRKO SIEMSEN

European Retail Practice Co-Leader
sirko.siemssen@oliverwyman.com
+49 89 939 49 574

BERNARD DEMEURE

French Retail Practice Leader
bernard.demeure@oliverwyman.com
+33 1 4502 3209

FREDERIC THOMAS-DUPUIS

North American Retail Practice Co-Leader
frederic.thomas-dupuis@oliverwyman.com
+1 514 350 7208

NICK HARRISON

European Retail Practice Co-Leader
nick.harrison@oliverwyman.com
+44 20 7852 7773

Copyright © 2016 Oliver Wyman. All rights reserved.